Title SCCTC Minutes 18 January 2024

Version 1

Author(s) Dieter Van Uytvanck, Leif-Jöran Olsson

Date 2024-01-24
Status Approved
Distribution SCCTC

ID CE-2024-2411



Participants (24)

Finland Martin Matthiesen (MM) Chair Matei Ďurčo (MD) Austria Member Michael Kurzmeier (MK) Deputy Austria Jesse de Does (JdD) Member Belgium Ivaylo Ivanov (II) Member Bulgaria Vanja Štefanec (VS) Member Croatia Elena Karittevli (EK) Member Cyprus Jan Hajič (JH) Member Czechia Pavel Straňák (PS) Czechia Deputy Simon Gray **Member** Denmark Krista Liin (KL) Member Estonia **Thomas Eckart (TE)** Member Germany **Dimitris Galanis Member** Greece Samúel Þórisson (ST) Member Iceland Riccardo Del Gratta (RDG) Member Italv Roberts Dargis (RD) Member Latvia **Andrius Utka Member Lithuania** Member Netherlands Menzo Windhouwer (MW)

Tone Merete Bruvik (TMB) Member excused

Tomasz Naskręt (TN) Member Poland Luís Gomes (LG) **Member Portugal** João Silva Deputy Portugal **Cyprian Laskowski Member** Slovenia German Rigau (GR) **Member Spain** Mikel Iruskieta (MI) Deputy Spain Leif-Jöran Olsson (L-JO) Vice Chair Sweden Alexandru Craevschi (AC) Observer **Switzerland** Friedel Wolff (FW) Member South Africa John Pybus (JP) Deputy **United Kingdom** Martin Wynne (MWy) Observer **United Kingdom**

Brian MacWhinney (BMW) Third Party (TalkBank CMU) USA

<u>Dieter Van Uytvanck</u> (DVU) CLARIN ERIC

Action points

#	Agenda Item	Action points from this meeting	Who	By when
33	2024-01-18	Organise a Standards Information System (SIS) session	ММ	Next meeting
34	2024-01-18	Communicate deadline for assessment round 23	MW	Feb 2024
#	Date	Action points from previous meetings	Who	By when
30	16-10-2023 and 15-11-2023	Document outcomes of CTS discussion to define next steps	DVU	February 2024

Agenda (2 min)

- 1. Opening: on 22 December 2023, our esteemed colleague Rune Kyrkjebø (CLARINO Bergen) passed away unexpectedly. Rune has been a member of the assessment committee since 2022. We honoured him with a minute of silence.
- 2. Agenda: Request for changes? (2 min)
- 3. Approval minutes last meeting (15.11.2023) & action point status (5 min)
- 4. For information: Centre assessments (5 min) (overview sheet)
- 5. CLARIN Workplan 2024 (MM) (20 min)
 - a. NCF, CLIC, Central Hub
- 6. Supporting the SIC in practice (MM) (10 min):
 - a. Regular review on status per member?
 - b. Presentation of one/more members on their progress?
- 7. Status update per country/member (15 min)
- 8. Announcements
- 9. AOB
- 10. Next Meeting

The agenda is approved as is.

3. Approval of minutes last meeting & action points (5 min)

The minutes of the previous meeting (CE-2024-2410) are approved without further comments.

The following APs are no longer relevant and/or have been completed and are thus removed: 32

Comments on the APs:

- AP 30: The deadline was adjusted to February 2024.

4. Centre assessments (5 min)

MM informs the committee that he and his colleague Jessica Parland von Essen have been accepted as CTS reviewers.

Centre Assessment Committee chair MW gives a brief update, see overview sheet.

Round 21 (CLARIN-PL and Sprakbanken) Round 22

Round 21

- Språkbanken and CLARIN-PL
- still in progress, dialogue with centres busy.
- should be finished soon.

Round 22

- CLARIN.SI and ORTOLANG
- submissions (CLARIN.SI and ORTOLANG) just have been distributed to the assessment committee members.

AC asks when the next assessment round deadline (round 23) will be.

DVU: The date is traditionally somewhere in spring but needs to be double-checked with the assessment committee. Begin of April, somwehere after Easter (e.g. week of 8 April) seems to be a good option.

AP: MW to check with the assessment committee what would work.

5. CLARIN Work Plan 2024

MM: highlight actions on other work plans that still are relevant for SCCTC:

CLARIN Workplan 2024

- a. NCF
 - i. Action 2: Large Language Models (LLMs)
 - 1. K-Centre planned
 - ii. Action 5: Supporting CLARIN Resource Families
 - 1. Status Update requested bi-anually

- iii. Action 7: Improving interoperability
 - 1. SIC, SIS
- iv. Action 8: Supporting Central Hub technologies
 - FCS
- v. Action 9: Supporting the technical infrastructure
 - 1. FCS
- vi. Action 10: Internal Collaboration
 - 1. CAC
 - 2. SIC.
- vii. Action 20: Focus on national contributions
- viii. Action 21: Aligning national agendas
- b. CLIC
 - i. Action 4: The EDIC legal framework and its meaning for CLARIN
- c. Central HUB
 - i. Action 1: Active engagement with the CLARIN centres and implementation of reusable solutions
 - ii. Action 2: Smoother and more transparent issue handling
 - iii. Action 3: Setting an example regarding accessibility

MD: capacity in the <u>OStrails project</u> to work on the SSHC Open Marketplace, now is a good moment to work on the resource families activities

6. Supporting the SIC in practice

MM: Inputhon on the SIS: done for SE, reviewing input from FIN-CLARIN

MW: suggestion from the assessment committee to have a recommendation in the centre requirements to register an entry in the SIS

MM: would be nice to have a presentation in the future about how to enter/adjust centre entries

AC: For practical info on how to contribute, see the GitHub repo: https://github.com/clarin-eric/standards/wiki/Updating-format-recommendations

PS:

Just that we do think we need to keep the list open. Not limited. I suppose there are no objections to that approach?

We do not want to have a closed list for machine learning frameworks, since things are developing so quickly.

MW: if you list acceptable ones it would already be useful to have a starting point?

MW: It would be good to have a practical session on how to add centre SIS info, as MM suggested.

AP MM: organize a SIS info session

MM: Need to come back to this discussion.

Decision: Everyone agrees on a regular review of the SIS input/overview

7. Status update per country/member (15 min)

A short bullet-wise summary can be found <u>here</u>.

RD: in Latvia we now have no Sketch Engine enabled in repo

L-JO: Sprakbanken to move to DOIs instead of handles, after upgrading DSpace in the coming weeks

MD: motivation for the switch to DOI?

L-JO: mostly a policy decision, we were technically happy with the handles

PS: we are thinking about a similar approach, now there is a CZ DOI consortium, membership is required to participate in some national projects

PS: there are some small benefits too in terms of easier citation workflows

8. Announcements (2 min)

No announcements

9. AOB

No AOB

10. Next meeting

Poll for the week starting 26 February.