Participants

Freddy Wetjen (NO), Jan Hajič (CZ), Krista Liin (EE), Lene Offersgaard (DK), Marc Kemps-Snijders (NL), Marcin Pol (PL), Tomas Krilavicius (LT), Linda Stokman

Excused: Dirk Goldhahn (DE), Matej Durco (AT)

0 Action points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>By whom</th>
<th>By when</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>A small ad-hoc task force (will draft a procedure, to be discussed at the next meeting.</td>
<td>DvU, JH, FW, someone from Assessment committee</td>
<td>Centre Meeting, 28 May 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Reinforce the Assessment Committee</td>
<td>DvU, LO</td>
<td>Centre Meeting, 28 May 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Agenda

The following agenda was accepted:

1. Agenda
2. Approval minutes last meeting & action point status (CE-2015-0508)
3. Assessment state (5th round)
4. Centre Meeting (draft agenda, including a centre committee meeting on Thursday 28 May)
5. Standards Committee position paper (attached)
6. A-service assessment procedure
7. Reports from the task forces:
8. Status update per country/member
9. Any other business

2 Approval minutes and action points

The minutes of the January and February 2015 SCCTC meeting (CE-2015-0508) were approved.

3 5th assessment round

Lene: assessment will start early May.
4 Centre Meeting (Thursday 28 May)

Idea is to meet in person and also have tutorials and a taskforce meeting (requested by the taskforce). Fill in the form if you can attend.

5 Standards Committee position paper

Most participants subscribe to the position paper.

LINDAT/CLARIN makes the following comment:

We find we can agree with most of the Position Paper and indeed, some action is needed. We however disagree that the action needed is for “CLARIN BoD to ensure the use of these recommended standards in the national consortia.” BoD enforcing compliance of the national consortia who will in turn enforce compliance of users / researchers is not the course of action we want to support. Above all because experience shows us that most of the successful standards in our field come from the bottom-up initiatives and cannot be predicted and planned. We have all seen many top-down standardisation attempts with lots of effort and expenses, often ISO standardisation, and in the end almost no traction.

ISO Cat is just one of many, we could probably all name many more.

So we believe that Clarin should remain benevolent in leaving it up to discretion of authors and requests of user community (= professional researchers) what formats are used for producing, archiving and using resources. What Clarin can do and what we would wholeheartedly support is encouragement and propagation of the standards we like: Write Q&As for novice researchers on how to record and store data, how to annotate, etc. Argue, why it is good to use this format or that and what methodology. Suggest good and reliable libraries. But ultimately leave the choice to the – now well informed – researchers. They are professionals too and we should respect their choices about their data.

In summary, we argue for the action to be producing howtos, tutorials and other materials in support of what we believe being good standards, and publishing and spreading these. But let us also respect that we cannot and should not attempt "to ensure the use of these recommended standards".

6 A-service assessment

A-service assessment procedure will be prepared to be discussed at the Utrecht meeting.

7 Reports from the task forces

Report from Matej Durco about MD curation:

- contact to MPI regarding normalizing Organisation Name Triljebek meant they could try.
- VLO 3.1 is rolled out, so the organisations should be a bit better
- but mainly Meeting with Jan Odkil, agreed on intensive cooperation: CLARIAH-NL and CLARIAH-AT especially in the area of md curation and shared vocabularies practical Workshop md curation in Vienna planned for June. I will send out the doodle this week.
- Analysis of resource types from VLO by the end of May as Basis for curating resource type face
Short status update from other taskforces:

- **CMDI** > work on new harvester
- **Federated Content Search** > new aggregator, more information at Utrecht meeting
- **Persistent Identifiers** > no news
- **AAI** > see [https://wwwclarin.eu/group-page/aa-vc-meeting-2015-03-19](https://www.clarin.eu/group-page/aa-vc-meeting-2015-03-19)

### 8 Status report of the countries

#### Norway

New data in centre but small technical difficulties to start up the centre. Trying to get the 6th assessment round.

#### Czech Republic

Finally invited by ministry on May 11 for prolongation, cooperation with other consortia. DH workshop for the local audience is being prepared for September. New services will be on the website once their done.

#### Estonia

New language resources from national project are expected. A big workshop is being prepared for this and will take place this Friday, April 24. Lost a few server admins. Start with DSA after Friday.

#### Denmark

Agreement about hosting servers at university IT department. Bidding round for roadmap national RIs. DH workshop for the local audience is being prepared for September. CLARIN ERIC is very interested in the outcome of these kinds of workshops/events.

#### Netherlands

Work programme has been accepted, 4 tracks: 1 of them linguistic (CLARIN represented, tasks divided +/-140) maybe some changes to subjects where centres can contribute, maybe use track for the linguistic track.

#### Lithuania

CLARIN LT is ready, the agreement has been sent to CLARIN ERIC. Working on the roadmap with the ministry and the real will start in a few weeks. Next meeting more info.

#### Poland

Workshop for communities took place a week ago. Ministry will visit, hopefully the necessity of CLARIN PL will show. Data Seal of Approval received. Some web services to connect to Dspace language tools, some virtual servers for OAI validator. Dspace is a big repository for corpora and it works nice.

### 9 Any other business

No other business.